## How Pennsylvanians View Government Funding of Conservation

## An Overview of Polling and Voting Results

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians - regardless of party or region - value open space and state investments in conservation.

## Introduction

Polls show strong support for conservation across the Commonwealth. Overwhelming majorities of Pennsylvanians-regardless of political affiliation or region-value open space and outdoor recreation and believe that investments in conservation are crucial. Pennsylvanians have affirmed these poll results at the ballot box, approving more than a billion dollars for conservation funding on local, county, and statewide ballots in recent decades.

## Statewide Polls

Support for Continuing State Funding
Pennsylvanians overwhelmingly support the use of state funds for conservation. In a 2014 poll, ${ }^{1} 97 \%$ said they support the continued dedication of state funds for land and water conservation, protection of historic sites, and farmland preservation.


Support across party lines
97.2 \% of Republicans
$96.8 \%$ of Democrats

## Support for Paying More

In a 2015 poll, ${ }^{2} 90 \%$ of Pennsylvanians said they support increasing state funding for these same purposes.

According to the poll, a solid $80 \%$ are in favor of increased conservation funding even if it would cost the average household $\$ 10$ 20 more per year. Independents ( $86 \%$ ) are the most willing to pay extra, followed by Democrats ( $82 \%$ ) and Republicans
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82\% of Democratic Voters

87\% of
Independent Voters
(75\%). A majority
in every region are supportive, from a low of $69 \%$ in the northwest part of the state to a high of $90 \%$ in the northcentral region.

## Support for Particular Funding Mechanisms

The same 2015 poll found that more than four-fifths ( $83 \%$ ) support setting aside the money from oil and gas leases on state forest land in a permanent conservation trust fund to improve state parks, forests, and other natural areas.

In a 2016 poll,,$^{3} 81 \%$ of respondents said they support placing a fee on commercial water use in Pennsylvania to fund the protection and restoration of rivers and streams, including $40 \%$ who "strongly" support it. Seventy-one percent of Republicans (including 30\% "strongly") and $89 \%$ of Democrats (including $58 \%$ "strongly") support a commercial water use fee.

## Ballot Results

Pennsylvanians have consistently demonstrated their support for conservation by approving local, county, and statewide ballot measures to allocate public money to open space protection through taxes and bonds. Since 1988, voters have said yes to $79 \%$ of these ballot measures (128 of 162), approving more than $\$ 1.4$ billion in conservation funding. ${ }^{4}$ Many of the measures passed by large margins. (Pennsylvania ranks fourth in the nation in total number of measures passed, and $12^{\text {th }}$ in total amount of conservation funds approved.)

For more information on conservation ballot measures, see The Trust for Public Land's LandVote database.

## Local Polls

The statewide polls found strong support for conservation in every region of Pennsylvania but did not examine any one county in depth. It appears that only one poll in recent years has done this.

A $\underline{2016 \text { poll }}{ }^{5}$ of registered voters in Lancaster County examined opinions regarding farmland preservation. The poll found that:

- Most (83\%) voters were either "very" or "somewhat" concerned about loss of farmland.
- Support for farmland preservation transcends political party, even among the most active voters: Republicans (72\%) and Democrats ( $84 \%$ ) support increased efforts to preserve farmland, as do $71 \%$ of Republican households with a history of voting in GOP primary elections.

- Seventy-seven percent of voters are in favor of continued use of public funds for farmland preservation, including $34 \%$ "strongly" in favor.
- A majority ( $61 \%$ ) said they are willing to pay more to ensure farmland preservation.


## Resources at ConservationTools.org

To find related resources, see the right column of the on-line edition at http://conservationtools.org/guides/152

## Comments

Help improve the next edition of this guide. Email your suggestions to the Pennsylvania Land Trust Association at aloza@conserve-
 land.org. Thank you.
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