Dover Borough/Dover Township Joint Comprehensive Plan



APPENDIX 4: BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS

March 2006 Revised April 2007

Planning Partners:

Dover Township Dover Borough York County Planning Commission



Table of Contents

ANALYZING LAND CAPACITY AND DEMAND	
EXPLANATION OF BUILD-OUT METHODOLOGY	
EXPLANATION OF MAP, DATA AND INFORMATION SOURCES	2
Base Layers	
Parcels	
Orthophotography	2
General Land Use (parcel level)	2
Zoning Districts	4
Preserved Agricultural Land	7
Environmentally Sensitive Areas	
BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS PROCESS	
Build-Out Analysis Process	8
Build-Out Basic Assumptions	9
Land Available for Development within the Conservation Zoning District	
Scenario: Probable Future - Maximum Build-Out under Current Zoning	
Scenario: Preferred Future under Modified Zoning	
Housing Unit & Population Adjustments	
Housing Unit & Population Projections	
HOUSING CAPACITY ANALYSIS	
Current Housing Trends	
National Averages	
Residential Real Estate Trends 2005	
Housing Trends Applied to Build-Out	
NON-RESIDENTIAL CAPACITY ANALYSIS	
FISCAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	
Residential Impacts	
Non-Residential Impacts	
Non-Residential Impacts	
School District Impacts	
Municipal Impacts	
APPENDIX A:	

ANALYZING LAND CAPACITY AND DEMAND

This report analyzes land capacity and demand using a variety of methods. Those methods include a build-out analysis, housing capacity analysis and fiscal impact assessment. The build-out analysis depicts the probable future scenario under current zoning regulations for existing development and lands available for development. This analysis is augmented with analysis of an additional scenario referred to as the preferred future scenario based upon committee and community input and planning analysis. The housing capacity analysis projects possible housing types based upon market demand for lands available for development. And, the fiscal impact assessment evaluates the results of the build-out analysis considering projection of residential and non-residential land uses to determine potential tax revenues generated under the probable future scenario. This analysis supports the development of a future land use plan for the joint comprehensive plan.

EXPLANATION OF BUILD-OUT METHODOLOGY

Build-out analysis is a lot-by-lot or area-by-area analysis to estimate the total number of existing and developable units (housing units and square footage of non-residential space), based on current zoning and other applicable land use regulations for a particular study area. The analysis can be conducted for various development scenarios (either under current zoning or modified zoning) for purposes of economic analysis and impact assessment. This type of analysis provides the basis for discussion and consensus building around a preferred future plan for a particular region, community or site.

The following explains the build-out analysis methodology used to generate population, housing, commercial and industrial land use projections for Dover Borough and Dover Township. The scenario analysis identifies potential future land use, population, housing units and square footage of non-residential space projected for the study area. Scenario analysis and results are further discussed to support identification of a preferred future land use plan for the Dover Area. The results of the build-out provide the basis for a Housing Capacity Analysis.

A maximum build-out scenario was used to portray the probable future under current zoning and to determine future development potential, a preferred build-out scenario was used to portray a desired future with modified zoning and growth boundaries. The build-out analysis is based on existing data, existing conditions, and basic assumptions. The following provides a general description of these variables.

Within the development scenario there are two possible land types available for development including vacant undeveloped land and agricultural land. Vacant or undeveloped land includes land that is currently unimproved or is vacant. Agricultural land includes land currently utilized for agricultural production. Due to development restrictions or designation of types of agricultural land, some of the agricultural land that could be available for development is not legally available for development and has been excluded from the build-out scenarios.

The build-out scenario is based upon current land use. The development standards used in this analysis were derived from Dover Township and Dover Borough's Zoning Ordinances. The following describes the various data, information and assumptions utilized in the analysis.

EXPLANATION OF MAP, DATA AND INFORMATION SOURCES

This section provides details about the map coverages, data and information utilized for build-Out analysis.

Base Layers

Base layers include data needed to establish current conditions. The base layers are used to determine developable lands, or lands that are likely to be developed in the future. Base layer sources include Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, Pennsylvania Spatial Data Access Center, York County Planning Commission, York County Tax Assessment Office, Dover Township, Dover Borough, and field observation.

Parcels

Land use data at the parcel level is provided through the York County Tax Assessment Office and York County Planning Commission GIS Group; attribute data is current as of November 2005.

Orthophotography

Digital Ortho-photography is provided by the Pennsylvania Spatial Data Access Center (PASDA) through the PAMAP program as sponsored by the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR). The images were captured in April of 2003.

General Land Use (parcel level)

A land use value was assigned to each parcel based on General Land Use data from York County Planning Commission, with modifications based on Tax Assessment Office data, and corrections provided by each municipality. The following land use codes are used.

Low Density Residential (LDR)

Properties identified by York County Tax Assessment Office as residential and are 1.5 acres or greater.

Medium Density Residential (MDR)

Medium density residential, condominiums, townhouse/rowhouses, two-family residential, three-family residential, and properties identified by York County Tax Assessment Office as residential and are between 0.20 acres and 1.5 acres.

High Density Residential (HDR)

Apartments and houses converted to apartments with four or more families, properties identified by York County Tax Assessment Office as Mobile Home Parks, and properties that are identified as residential and are less than 0.20 acres.

Commercial (COM)

Commercial uses, hotels, motels, bar/lounge, restaurants, service stations, service garages, convenience / food markets, supermarkets, bed and breakfast, nurseries – greenhouses, used car lots, automotive sales, car wash, medical offices, veterinarians, day care centers, retail, golf courses, mixed use with commercial (residential & commercial), commercial parking lots, and miniwarehousing.

Mixed Commercial / Residential (MXCR)

Includes lands identified as having both commercial and residential uses. Typically the residential uses are Medium Density Residential.

Industrial (IND)

Warehousing, manufacturing, junk yards, mining and quarrying.

Parks / Open Space / Recreation (POS)

Common areas, statues, recreational parks, and recreational camps.

Institutional / Governmental (INS)

Churches, graveyards, cemeteries, post offices, police, fire, government centers, schools, school auxiliary (gymnasiums, pools) and indoor swimming pools.

Agriculture (AG)

Fields, Christmas tree farms, orchards, timber, dairy farms, horse farms, hog farms, cattle farms, poultry farms, parcels with agriculture auxiliary structures, and agriculture with commercial uses.

Vacant - Undeveloped (UND)

All those properties listed in the tax assessment office as vacant; such as residential vacant; apartment vacant, commercial vacant; agriculture vacant, etcetera.

Utility / Transportation (UT)

Includes utility, water / wastewater treatment plants, and pumping stations (water and natural gas).

Table 1: Existing General Land Uses provides the acreages of land in each of the general land use categories.

Table 1: Existing General Land Uses

General Land Use Description	Acres in Dover Borough	Percent of Acres in Dover Borough	Acres in Dover Township	Percent of Acres in Dover Township	Total Acres*	Percent of Total Acres
Low Density Residential	13.7	4.5%	3,539.2	13.9%	3,552.9	13.8%
Medium Density Residential	139.7	46.3%	2,073.9	8.1%	2,213.6	8.6%
High Density Residential	37.2	12.3%	380.4	1.5%	417.6	1.62%
Commercial	12.7	4.2%	368.2	1.4%	380.9	1.5%
Mixed Commercial / Residential	2.7	0.9%	54.5	0.2%	57.3	0.2%
Industrial	1.8	0.6%	193.2	0.8%	195.0	0.8%
Parks / Open Space / Recreation	11.2	3.7%	217.0	0.9%	228.2	0.9%
Institutional / Governmental	54.4	18.0%	175.5	0.7%	230.0	0.9%
Agriculture	-	0.0%	13,947.4	54.7%	13,947.4	54.0%
Utility / Transportation	5.6	1.9%	12.1	0.0%	17.7	0.1%
Vacant	22.9	7.6%	4,545.9	17.8%	4,568.8	17.7%
Total*	302.0	100.0%	25,507.4	100.0%	25,809.3	100.0%

^{*}Total Acres of parcels, the total does not include acreage dedicated to roadway rights-of-way Source: November 2005 York County Tax Assessment Office data.

Zoning Districts

Current Zoning Districts from Dover Township and Dover Borough were used to determine types of permitted development. Each parcel in the parcel layer was assigned an appropriate Zoning District. Specific Zoning information pertaining to each Zoning District are provided for Dover Township and Dover Borough. The descriptions were derived from the Official Zoning Ordinances.

Dover Township Zoning Districts

CV- Conservation District

The purpose of this district is to prescribe a zoning category for those areas where, because of natural geographic factors and existing land uses, it is considered desirable to conserve open spaces, water supply sources, woodland areas, wildlife areas, visual amenities and views from the roadway, other natural resources, and farmland. This district may include steeply sloped areas, stream valleys, water supply sources, wooded areas, and farmed areas.

A- Agricultural District

In areas where agricultural activity remains strong, Agricultural Districts are established to protect and stabilize agriculture as an on-going economic activity by generally permitting only those land uses and activities which are either agricultural in nature or act in direct support of agricultural activity.

V- Village District

This district recognizes the Village of Mount Royal as a unique place in the development of the Township. The center of the village is recognized as the crossroads of Old Carlisle Road and Conewago Road. The regulations are set forth to preserve the existing community character of the Mount Royal area. This character includes a mixture of single family residential uses, neighborhood commercial uses and public and open spaces. The following lot area and width standards shall apply to residential uses based on the type of dwelling unit and presence of public water and sewer.

ROS- Residential Open Space District

The purpose of this District is to provide for suburban residential growth in areas of the Township most able to accommodate growth. Within this district, public sewer and water facilities shall be utilized. Flexible, open-space design standards shall be used as an incentive for the protection of important natural resources and the provision of common open space. Developments not incorporating such open space techniques shall be maintained at low densities. Minimum lot area in this district is 17,500 square feet.

R-1 – Residential District: Low Density

The purpose of this District is to permit and provide standards for single-family detached residential development at a low density, to encourage the preservation of open spaces and conserve natural resources, and to exclude uses incompatible with residential communities. Public water and sewer should be provided for uses in the District.

R-2 – Residential District: Low Density

The purpose of this District is to permit a variety of low density, single-family or two-family residential development in areas of the Township with existing similar development, to encourage the preservation of open space and conserve natural and

groundwater resources, and to exclude uses incompatible with residential neighborhoods. Public water and sewer shall be utilized in this district.

R-3 – Residential District: Medium Density

The purpose of this District is to provide reasonable standards for the orderly expansion of medium density, urban residential development in areas of the Township with similar existing uses. Also to encourage the preservation of open spaces and conservation of natural and groundwater resources and to exclude uses incompatible with residential neighborhoods. Public water and sewer shall be used in this District.

R-4 – Residential District: High Density

The purpose of this District is to provide reasonable standards for the orderly expansion of high density, urban residential development in areas of the Township with similar existing uses, to encourage the preservation of open spaces and conservation of natural and groundwater resources and to exclude uses incompatible with residential neighborhoods. Public water and sewer shall be used in this District.

C- Commercial District

The purpose of this district is to provide reasonable standards for the development of commercial uses which serve the day to day shopping needs of residents as well as those establishments which cater primarily to the motoring public. The standards of this district are designed to separate access roads from major thoroughfares, to minimize traffic congestion and to provide buffer yards and screen plantings where such adjoin residential areas.

PO- Professional Office District

The purpose of this District is to provide an environment that is conducive to professional office businesses. These areas are intended to function as distinct office centers without retail sales or other such uses which are incompatible with professional office uses. Uses that are consistent with the intent of this District, yet require a higher level of scrutiny, are permitted by special exception.

MX- Mixed Use

The purpose of this District is to provide for residential/commercial mixed use in areas of the Township most capable of accommodating growth. Public water and sewer facilities will be utilized. Flexible density and open space design standards will be used as incentive for the protection of natural resources and the provision of open space. In order to provide convenient commercial services to residential development in this District, provisions are made to require neighborhood type commercial development.

BP- Business Office Park District

The purpose of this District is to provide flexible regulations to encourage a mix of nonresidential land uses developed in an integrated manner in a campus setting. This District is designed to achieve the following Township goals:

- To provide ancillary uses to support corporate office development.
- To encourage a mix of land uses that limit off-site traffic generation.

Permitted uses include business and professional offices, corporate headquarters, data processing and computer centers, and municipal buildings, facilities or uses.

I- Industrial District

The purpose of this District is to permit and encourage industrial development that will be so located and designed as to constitute a harmonious development, contribute to the soundness of the economic base of the Township, and otherwise further the purposes of this Ordinance. In promoting these and the general purposes of this Ordinance, the specific intent of this District is:

- To encourage the development of and continued use of land for industrial purposes.
- To prohibit any use that would substantially interfere with the development, continuation or expansion of industrial uses in the District.
- To establish reasonable standards for buildings and other structures, the areas and dimensions of yards and other open spaces, and the provision of facilities and operation of industries to minimize air pollution, noise, glare, heat, vibration and fire and safety standards.
- To utilize public water and sewer.

(Source: Dover Township Zoning Ordinance)

Dover Borough Zoning Districts

V- Village Center Zone

The purpose of the V Zone is to provide for the continued development of potential commercial, industrial, residential and public uses and to provide for public health and safety.

R- Residential Zone

The purpose of the R Zone is to improve and maintain character of the newer residential areas within the borough; to provide for the orderly expansion of residential development; to provide for the public health and to prevent the overcrowding of land through application of maximum housing densities; to provide standards which will encourage the installation of public facilities and the preservation of open space, to exclude any activities not compatible with residential development.

(Source: Dover Borough Zoning Ordinance)

Tables 2 and 3 provide the acreages of land by Zoning District, and Map 9: Zoning Districts illustrates Zoning District Boundaries.

Table 2: Zoning Acreage Dover Township

Zoning Code	Description	Acres	Percent of Total Acres		
Cv	Conservation	12,165	45.4%		
Α	Agriculture	9,038	33.7%		
V	Village	176	0.7%		
ROS	Residential Open Space	532	2.0%		
R1	Low Density Residential	2,153	8.0%		
R2	Low Density Residential	628	2.3%		
	Medium Density				
R3	Residential	479	1.8%		
R4	High Density Residential	375	1.4%		
С	Commercial	529	2.0%		
PO	Professional Office	4	0.0%		
MX	Mixed Use Residential	181	0.7%		
BP	Business Park Office	274	1.0%		
I	Industrial	257	1.0%		
Total*		26,790	100%		

^{*}Total Acres of parcels, the total includes acreage dedicated to roadway rights-of-way and may therefore differ from other table data that is based on parcel acreages

Table 3: Zoning Acreage Dover Borough

Zoning Code	Description	Acres	Percent of Total Acres
R	Residential	273	79.0%
V	Village	73	21.0%
Total		346	100%

Preserved Agricultural Land

Agricultural Land includes lands that are included in agricultural land preservation programs such as the York County Agriculture Land Preservation Program (YCPL), Farm & Natural Lands Trust (F&NLT) program, and the York County Agricultural Securities programs (ASA). Designated Agricultural Land are identified and described on Map 8: Agricultural Lands of the Existing Conditions Report.

Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Environmentally Sensitive Areas include the environmentally sensitive areas as mapped and presented in Maps 7 and 7.1: Environmentally Sensitive Areas of the Existing Conditions Report. These areas include steep slopes (slope of 15% or greater), flood plains (100 year and 500 year flood plains), and the Zone of Contribution areas of the Wellhead Protection Areas (the areas of surface runoff to the Zone of Contribution were not included).

BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS PROCESS

The build-out analysis process describes how land available for development is identified and explains basic assumptions that are applied.

Build-Out Analysis Process

Step 1. Beginning with General Land Uses at the Parcel Level

Any parcel that has a land use category of Vacant or Agriculture is considered available for development. These parcels are considered as lands available for development.

Step 2. Reductions for Preserved Agricultural Lands

Remove from lands available for development any parcels that are included in any of the programs identified in the Preserved Agricultural Land coverage: For maximum build-out scenario that includes: YCPL, F&NLT, and ASA.

For the Preferred build-out scenario reductions include: YCPL, F&NLT, and ASA outside the growth boundary, whereby those ASA lands inside the growth boundary were considered for future use as Public Open Space.

Step 3. Reductions for Environmentally Sensitive Areas

For the maximum build-out scenario: remove from lands available for development any parcels that are in Environmentally Sensitive Areas including steep slopes, flood plains, or a Wellhead Protection Area's Zone of Contribution.

For the preferred build-out scenario: remove from lands available for development parcels that are in Environmentally Sensitive Areas including steep slopes and flood plains. Those parcels in Wellhead Protection Area's Zone of Contribution may or may not have been designated to develop based on Joint Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee (JCPAC) discussion.

Step 4. Lands Available for Development Land Use Assignment

For the maximum build-out scenario: the remaining lands available for development were assigned land uses based upon current zoning regulations as presented in Table 4: Zoning Regulations and Build-Out Assumptions.

For the preferred build-out scenario: the remaining lands available for development were assigned land uses based upon current zoning regulations as presented in Table 4: Zoning Regulations and Build-Out Assumptions and or as decided upon by the JCPAC for lands inside the growth boundary.

Map B01 - Lands Available for Development illustrates lands available for development after Process Steps 1 through 4 has been applied for the Maximum Build-out Scenario. Map P01 – Lands Considered for Development – Preferred Scenario, illustrates the lands used to generate the Preferred Scenario Build-out.

Build-Out Basic Assumptions

The following assumptions were made concerning lands available for development and concerning the type of development that may occur.

1. Since various development types are permitted in each Zoning District, there is a myriad of possibilities for the type of development that will occur; therefore, the type of development that may occur was assumed. Table 4: Zoning regulations and Build-Out Assumptions, provides the assumptions that were used in the build-out scenario.

Table 4: Zoning Regulations and Build-Out Assumptions

		Gurrent Zoning	Build-Out Conditions		
Zoning Districts	Zone Code	Units Per Acre or Minimum Square Footage Needed	Maximum Dwelling Unit Density	Calculated Acres per Unit	Assumed Type of Build- Out
		1 Unit per 3 Acres (0.33			
Conservation District	Cv	Units per Acre)	NA	3	Residential (100%)
Agriculture District	A	1 Unit per 1.5 Acres (0.66 Units per Acre)	NA	1.5	Residential (100%)
Low Density Single Family District	R-1	2.5 - 4 units per Acre	2	0.4	Residential (100%)
Residential Open Space District	ROS	1 - 2.5 Units per Acre (depending on public utilities) 2.5 - 4 Units per Acre	2	1	Residential (100%)
Low Density Residential District	R-2	(depending on public utilities)	2	0.25	Residential (100%)
Medium Density Residential District	R-3	4.8 Units per Acre	5	0.21	Residential (100%)
High Density Residential District	R-4	5.8 - 14.5 Units per Acre	15	0.17	Residential (100%)
Residential - (Borough)	R	2.0 - 5.5 Units per Acre (depending on utilities)	NA	0.18	Residential (100%)
Village (Borough)	V	5.5 Units per Acre	NA	0.18	Mix Commercial (50%) / Residential (50%)
Mixed Use District	MX	3.0 - 3.5 units per acre 17,500 square feet	NA	0.28	Mix Commercial (10%) / Residential (90%)
Village District (Township)	V	RESIDENTIAL 4,500 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,340 with neither NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,340 with neither	NA	1	Mix Commercial (40%) / Residential (60%)
Commercial	С	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	4.3	0.23	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)
Industrial	ī	20,000 square feet	NA	NA	Industrial (100%)
Business / Office Park	BP	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**	NA	NA	Commercial (100%)
Professional Office	PO	~	NA	NA	Commercial (100%)

Sources: Dover Borough Zoning Ordinance, Dover Township Zoning Ordinance
**within a 30 acre tract

Page 9

- 2. Properties identified as lands available for development are for build-out scenario purposes desired to develop. In some instances, property owners may have no intention of developing their properties, but for purposes of conducting maximum build-out analysis they are considered to develop.
- 3. Properties identified as lands available for development are able to meet the minimum lot size, minimum setbacks, access, and impervious surface requirements, among others, as prescribed in the Zoning Ordinances. Lots not meeting these requirements will remain vacant.
- 4. Land that has already been developed (land uses other than Vacant or Agriculture), will remain developed as such and will not be further subdivided or developed.
- 5. Lands available for development that are in the Agriculture Zoning District and are greater than ten acres in size are classified as Very Low Density Residential. Lands available for development that are in the Agriculture Zoning District and are less than ten acres in size are classified as Low Density Residential.
- 6. Specific assumptions are further defined in the Notes column of Tables 7: Maximum Scenario Build-Out Results and Table 8: Preferred Build-out Results.

Land Available for Development within the Conservation Zoning District

At the request of the Joint Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee (JCPAC), analysis was focused on land available for development in the Conservation Zoning District of Dover Township. The following describes in detail the conditions within the Conservation Zoning District. To reiterate, lands available for development include parcels with a land use classification of vacant or agricultural with reductions made for preserved farmland (Farm & Natural Land, Preserved Farms, and Agricultural Security Areas) and reductions made for environmentally sensitive areas (steep slopes, flood plains, or a Wellhead Protection Area's Zone of Contribution).

Within the Dover Township Conservation Zoning District there are approximately 12,000 acres in 2,100 parcels (refer to Table 5: Conservation Zoning District); 29% of those acres (3,337.3 acres) are considered as land available for development. Of the land available for development in the Conservation Zoning District, nearly all (97%) of the acreage are on parcels that are greater than 3.0 acres in size, refer to Table 6: Undeveloped Land in the Conservation Zoning District and Map BO1.1 Conservation Zoning District – Lands Available for Development.

Table 5: Conservation Zoning District

Conservation Zoning District	Number of Parcels	Total Acreage	Percent of Total
Developed Land in the Conservation Zoning District	1,849	8,309.9	71%
Undeveloped Land (Available for Development) in the Conservation Zoning District	256	3,337.3	29%
Total	2,105	11,647.2	100%

Page 10

Table 6: Undeveloped Land in the Conservation Zoning District

Undeveloped Land (Available for Development) in the Conservation Zoning District	Number of Parcels	Total Acreage	Percent of Total
Number of parcels less than 3.0 Acres	99	105.0	3%
Number of parcels greater than 3.0 Acres*	157	3,232.2	97%
Total	256	3,337.3	100%

Note: No land available for development in the Conservation Zoning District was exactly 3.0 acres
*125 parcels in this category are 6.0 acres or greater and amount to 3,091.7 acres

As illustrated in Table 7: Maximum Scenario Build-Out Results, the 3,337.3 acres of land available for development could yield approximately 1,112 additional housing units in the Conservation Zoning District.

BUILD-OUT RESULTS

Once the Build-Out Basic Assumptions are applied to parcels, lands available for development by zoning district are built-out. The results are presented in Table 7: Maximum Scenario Build-Out Results, and illustrated in Map BO2: Probable Future under Modified Zoning and Tables 8: Preferred Scenario Build-Out Results, and illustrated in Map P1: Development Patterns 2030.

Scenario: Probable Future - Maximum Build-Out under Current Zoning

Table 7: Maximum Scenario Build-Out Results illustrates there are approximately 9,200 acres of land available for development in various zoning districts throughout the study area. In Dover Borough there are 12.2 acres of land available for development with the remainder acreage located in Dover Township. Table 7, further illustrates that the total projected number of dwelling units based on lands available for development that has been identified for residential development results in an additional 5,615 units. Note that there are no lands available for development in the Mixed Use (MX) or Professional Office (PO) Zoning Districts and therefore no residential or non-residential units or space is projected for those districts.

Scenario: Preferred Future under Modified Zoning

Table 8: Preferred Scenario Build-Out Results illustrates there are approximately 4,800 acres of land available for development in various zoning districts and modified zoning districts throughout the study area. In Dover Borough there are 12.2 acres of land available for development with the remainder acreage located in Dover Township. Table 8, further illustrates that the total projected number of dwelling units based on lands available for development that has been identified for residential development results in an additional 4,947 units. Note that there are no lands available for development in the Mixed Use (MX) or Professional Office (PO) Zoning Districts and therefore no residential or non-residential units or space is projected for those districts.



		NOTES		Assume that one-quarter of the 192 parcels available for development will come in for a permitted one-time division (equals 48 lots), the total acceage available for development is then divided by 26.5 acres (25 acre farm ict plus an allowable 1.5 acre lot).	Used Maximum Dwelling Density	Used Maximum Dwelling Density	Used Maximum Dwelling Density	Used Maximum Dwelling Density	Used Maximum Dwelling Density	Assumed 5.5 units per acre	Assumed 5.5 units per acre	Actual units approved in this district have been accounted for in the Existing Unit Adjustments	Assumed 1 acre lots (water is currently available)	Assumed 10% of area will be developed at smallest lot size permitted as water and sewer are available						
	S	PROJECTED UNITS (Based on Maximum Density or listed Notes)	1,112	208	1,325	538	382	384	1,531	51	∞		8-	58	1			09	5,555	5,615
	Build-Out Results	Non- Residential Acres	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.5		12.2	119.6	66.7	155.1		1.5	353.5	355.0
d-Out Results		Residential Acres	3,337.3	4,255.3	662.5	268.9	95.4	76.8	102.0	9.2	1.5		18.2	13.3				10.7	8,829.8	8,840.5
Scenario Build	ditions	Acres Available for Development	3,337.3	4,255.3	662.5	268.9	95.4	76.8	102.0	9.2	3.0		30.4	132.9	66.7	155.1		12.2	9,183.3	9,195.5
Table 7: Maximum Scenario Build-Out Results	Build-Out Conditions	Assumed Type of Build-out	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Residential (100%)	Mix Commercial (50%) / Residential (50%)	Mix Commercial (10%) / Residential (90%)	Mix Commercial (40%) / Residential (60%)	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)	Industrial (100%)	Commercial (100%)	Commercial (100%)			
		Calculated Acres per Unit	3	1.5	0.4	-	0.25	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.28	-	0.23	ΑN	Ą Z	Ą			
		Maximum Dwelling Unit Density	ΥN	Ą Z	2	2	2	5	15	NA	Y Y	A	¥ Z	6.3	ΑN	A A	Ą			
	Current Zoning	Units Per Acre or Minimum Square Footage Needed	1 Unit per 3 Acres (0.33 Units per Acre)	1 Unit per 1.5 Acres (0.66 Units per Acre)	2.5 - 4 units per Acre	1 - 2.5 Units per Acre (depending on public utilities)	2.5 - 4 Units per Acre (depending on public utilities)	4.8 Units per Acre	5.8 - 14.5 Units per Acre	2.0 - 5.5 Units per Acre (depending on utilities)	5.5 Units per Acre	3.0 - 3.5 units per acre 17,500 square feet	RESIDENTIAL 4,500 with water AND sewer 4,500 with water OR sewer 65,340 with neither NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,340 with neither	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	20,000 square feet	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**	₹			
	Ö	Zone	ζ	∢	R-1	ROS	R-2	R-3	R-4	æ	>	MX	>	O	-	ВР	PO			
		Zoning Districts	Conservation District	Agriculture District	Low Density Single Family District	Residential Open Space District	Low Density Residential District	Medium Density Residential District	High Density Residential District	Residential - (Borough)	Village (Borough)	Mixed Use District	VIIIage District (Township)	Commercial	Industrial	Business / Office Park	Professional Office	Dover Borough Sub-total	Dover Township Sub-total	Total

				Та	Table 8: Preferred Scenario Build-Out Results	enario Build-	Out Results			
	Cur	Current Zoning			Build-Out Conditions	ditions		Build-Out Results		
	ı		Maximum Dwelling	Calculated		Acres		No N	PROJECTED UNITS (Based on Maximum	
ning Districts	2one Code	Units Per Acre or Minimum Square Footage Needed	Unit Density	Acres per Unit	Assumed 1ype of Available for Build-out Development	Available for Development	Residential Acres	Residential Acres	Density or listed Notes)	NOTES
District	ò	1 Unit per 3 Acres (0.33 Cv Units per Acre)	ΝΑ	8	Residential (100%)	2,205.5	2,205.5	0	735	
		1 Unit per 1.5 Acres (0.66								Assume that one-quarter of the 192 parcels available for development will come in for a permitted one-time divised lots), the total acreage available for development is

NOTES
735
1 1 1
0 0
270.0
270.0 589.0
residential (100 %)
7
(depending on public utilities)
(depending or
200000000000000000000000000000000000000

Note: Highlighted Rows represent Dover Borough

Housing Unit & Population Adjustments

Since the completion of the 2000 Census, there has been residential development in Dover Borough and Dover Township and therefore a change in total number of housing units and population. To account for the change in housing units and population, residential building permits and population per household data have been used to adjust the 2000 Census figures. Since 2000, in Dover Borough there have been 61 housing permits approved and constructed. Since 2000, in Dover Township there have been 1,839 housing units approved but just 1,167 permits issued and housing units constructed. Table 9: Housing Unit & Population Adjustment 2005 illustrates their has been a total 1,228 residential building permits issued and units constructed in the Dover Area between 1999 through 2005. Since the actual number of occupants per new household is unknown, the current occupancy rate for each municipality was applied to the additional new housing units; by adding the resulting number (additional population) to the 2000 Total Population establishes an Adjusted Total Population.

Table 9: Housing Unit & Population Adjustment 2005

Municipality	2000 Total Housing Units	2000 Total Population	2000 Population Per Housing Unit	Total Housing Units Constructed Since 2000 Census*	Adjusted Total Housing Units (2005)	Adjusted Total Population Based on Population Per Dwelling Unit (2005)
Dover Borough	790	1,815	2.3	61	851	1,955
Dover Township	7,217	18,074	2.5	1,167	8,384	20,997
Total	8,007	19,889	2.48	1,228	9,235	22,952

Source: 2000 Census, Dover Borough and Dover Township building permit data 1999-2005

The adjusted total housing units in Dover Borough is 851, with an adjusted population of 1,955 people. The adjusted total housing units in Dover Township is 8,384, with an adjusted population of 20,997. The total adjusted housing units for the Dover Area are 9,235 housing units with an adjusted total population of 22,952.

Housing Unit & Population Projections

A similar application of the methodology to adjust current housing units and populations can be employed with the projected housing units. Table 10: Projected Housing Units and Population, illustrates the results of adding built-out housing units to adjusted housing units to establish total projected housing units and population. In addition to the calculated build-out units, there are three properties which already have approved development plans which include an additional 548 units; these units are referred to as unaccounted units. These properties we not included in land available for development for the build-out scenario as they are located in Agricultural Security Areas (ASA) or Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPA). Therefore, total projected housing units are calculated as; adjusted housing units plus unaccounted housing units plus build-out housing units.

Table 10: Projected Housing Units and Population – Maximum Build-out

Municipality	ADJUSTED Total Housing Units (2005)	ADJUSTED Total Population Based on Population Per Dwelling Unit (2005)	UNACCOUNTED Approved Housing Units Not Accounted for in the Build- Out	UNACCOUNTED Population Not Accounted for in the Build-Out	MAX BUILD- OUT Additional Housing Units	MAX BUILD- OUT Additional Population	TOTAL PROJECTED HOUSING UNITS (Adjusted Housing Units plus Unaccounted Housing Units plus Max Build- Out Housing Units)	TOTAL PROJECTED POPULATION (Adjusted Population plus Unaccounted Population plus Max Build-Out Population)
Dover Borough	851	1,955	-	-	60	137	911	2,092
Dover Township	8,384	20,997	548	1,372	5,555	13,913	14,487	36,282
Total	9,235	22,952	548	1,372	5,615	14,050	15,398	38,374

According to Table 10, the 60 build-out housing units in Dover Borough yield 137 more people; and result in a projected 911 housing units and population of 2,092 people. The 548 unaccounted housing units in Dover Township plus the 5,555 build-out housing units results in a total projection of 14,487 housing units and a population of 36,282 people. The Dover Area (Dover Borough and Dover Township) is projected under the Maximum Build-out scenario to have 15,398 housing units with a 38,374 people.

Table 11: Projected Housing Units and Population – Preferred Build-out

Municipality	ADJUSTED Total Housing Units (2005)	ADJUSTED Total Population Based on Population Per Dwelling Unit (2005)	UNACCOUNTED Approved Housing Units Not Accounted for in the Build- Out	UNACCOUNTED Population Not Accounted for in the Build-Out	PREF BUILD- OUT Additional Housing Units	PREF BUILD- OUT Additional Population	TOTAL PROJECTED HOUSING UNITS (Adjusted Housing Units plus Unaccounted Housing Units plus Pref Build- Out Housing Units)	TOTAL PROJECTED POPULATION (Adjusted Population plus Unaccounted Population plus Pref Build-Out Population)
Dover Borough	851	1,955	-	-	59	136	910	2,091
Dover	0.204	20.007	540	4.070	4.000	40.044	42.000	24.040
Township	8,384	20,997	548	1,372	4,888	12,241	13,820	34,610
Total	9,235	22,952	548	1,372	5,615	12,377	14,730	36,701

According to Table 11, the 59 preferred scenario build-out housing units in Dover Borough yield 136 more people; and result in a projected 910 housing units and population of 2,091 people. The 548 unaccounted housing units in Dover Township plus the 4,888 build-out housing units results in a total projection of 13,820 housing units and a population of 34,610 people. The Dover Area (Dover Borough and Dover Township) is projected under the Preferred Build-out scenario to have 14,730 housing units with a 36,701 people.

A comparison of projections has been included. Table 12: York County Planning Commission Projections to 2030 (from the Existing Conditions Report) is shown below. When compared to Table 10, the comparison reveals that the adjusted totals for the Dover Area (2005) are similar to the projected totals for the Dover Area for 2010; and that the build-out projections surpass the YCPC 2030 projections by approximately 3,500 housing units and approximately 8,300 people. Note that York County Planning Commission projections are based on past population trends and that the build-out projections are based on lands available for development with an average number of persons per dwelling unit applied.

Table 12: York County Planning Commission Projections to 2030

rubic 12. Tork county Fluini	Census			
	Data	F	Projections	5
Municipality	2000	2010	2020	2030
Dover Borough				
Population	1,815	1,989	2,184	2,340
Households	770	807	843	878
Population Per Household	2.36	2.46	2.59	2.67
Dover Township				
Population	18,074	21,359	24,949	27,705
Households	6,999	8,330	9,678	11,027
Population Per Household	2.58	2.56	2.58	2.51
Dover Area				
Population	19,889	23,348	27,133	30,045
Households	7,769	9,137	10,521	11,905

Source: York County Planning Commission, Transportation Forecasting Model, 2005 York County Planning Commission, 2003 Population projections.

HOUSING CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A housing capacity analysis evaluates total lands available for residential development and the possible housing units permitted to develop using current land development regulations, housing trends, national standards and real estate trends. The analysis results can be compared to growth rates or population growth rates. The analysis can be used as a measure of current regulation's ability to provide for various housing types. The housing capacity analysis can also be used to determine housing trends based upon certain market factors. The results of the housing capacity analysis provide valuable information to support land use policy decisions and can also be used to assess fiscal impacts of residential development.

Current Housing Trends

Table 13: Units in Structure illustrates the 2000 stratification of housing units in the Dover Area by the number of units in a structure. The data, at the Census Blockgroup level, are applied to the total number of projected units to provide an indication of how the projected units may be distributed. Definitions for units in structure categories are provided below. Appendix A:

Specific Blockgroups Applied to Projected Units describes particular blockgroup stratifications that were applied to the number of projected housing units per Zoning District.

Table 13: Units in Structure

	Dover B	Borough	Dover To	ownship
Units in Structure	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total housing units	789	100	7,218	100
UNITS IN STRUCTURE				
1-unit, detached	452	57.3	4,843	67.1
1-unit, attached	49	6.2	801	11.1
2 units	43	5.4	131	1.8
3 or 4 units	32	4.1	78	1.1
5 to 9 units	120	15.2	156	2.2
10 to 19 units	77	9.8	89	1.2
20 or more units	16	2	9	0.1
Mobile home	0	0	1,111	15.4
Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0	0	0

(X) Not applicable

Source: United States Census Bureau 2000 SF1 Data

Definitions of Categories

Definitions for units in structure are provided by the United States Census Bureau Summary File 3 (SF3) Documentation. The following definitions have been used to support this analysis.

Units in Structure: The data on units in structure (also referred to as "type of structure") were obtained from answers to long-form questionnaire Item 34, which was asked on a sample basis at both occupied and vacant housing units. A structure is a separate building that either has open spaces on all sides or is separated from other structures by dividing walls that extend from ground to roof. In determining the number of units in a structure, all housing units, both occupied and vacant, are counted. Stores and office space are excluded. The statistics are presented for the number of housing units in structures of specified type and size, not for the number of residential buildings.

1-unit, detached: This is a 1-unit structure detached from any other house; that is, with open space on all four sides. Such structures are considered detached even if they have an adjoining shed or garage. A 1-family house that contains a business is considered detached as long as the building has open space on all four sides. Mobile homes to which one or more permanent rooms have been added or built also are included.

1-unit, attached: This is a 1-unit structure that has one or more walls extending from ground to roof separating it from adjoining structures. In row houses (sometimes called townhouses), double houses, or houses attached to nonresidential structures, each house is a separate, attached structure if the dividing or common wall goes from ground to roof.

2 or more units: These are units in structures containing 2 or more housing units, further categorized as units in structures with 2, 3 or 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 19, 20 to 49, and 50 or more units.

Mobile Home: Both occupied and vacant mobile homes to which no permanent rooms have been added are counted in this category. Mobile homes used only for business purposes or for extra sleeping space and mobile homes for sale on a dealer's lot, at the

factory, or in storage are not counted in the housing inventory. In 1990, the category was "mobile home or trailer."

Boat, RV, Van and Similar: This category is for any living quarters occupied as a housing unit that does not fit in the previous categories. Examples that fit in this category are houseboats, railroad cars, campers, and vans.

National Averages

Table 14: National Average Square Footage of Units provides data on the average national square footage of housing units in the United States. The data in Table 12 are provided by the United States Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration (DOE / EIA) through the 2001 Residential Energy Consumptions Survey.

Table 14: National Average Square Footage of Units

Type of Home	Average Square Footage	Average Sale Price (Structure Value)
Average Square Footage of Mobile Homes	1,062	Singlewide \$38,000 to \$48000 Doublewide \$72,000*
Average Square Footage of SFD – Detached	2,553	\$182,487
Average Square Footage of SFD – Attached	2,373	\$113,975
Apartments - 2-4 units buildings	1,393	\$122,215**
Apartments - 5 + units buildings	847	NA

NA - Not Available

*Manufactured Homes Quote – Price Guide (2005), mh-quote.com
**Using Condominium values from Realtors Association of York and Adams County Inc data
Source: United States Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration,
2001 Residential Energy Consumptions Survey and RAYAC 2005 Data.

According to the DOE / EIA housing units square footages include the following:

Total square footage as presented in the 2001 Residential Energy Consumption Survey [Table 14:] includes living space (living rooms, bedrooms, dining rooms, kitchens, dens, and other living space not separately reported), other enclosed interior space (space not included in any other category such as laundry rooms, hallways, and closets), attached garages, basements, finished and heated attics, and enclosed porches.

The average square footages presented in Table 14 are applied to the projected housing units as part of the housing capacity analysis.

Residential Real Estate Trends 2005

According the Realtors Association of York and Adams County (RAYCO) Multiple Listing Service (MS) data, nearly 300 homes (286) were sold in the Dover Area School District in 2005 at an average sale price of \$163,780. Table 15: Specific Housing Units Details 2005 provides the average finished square feet and sale price per total finished square foot of homes sold in the Dover Area School District in 2005.

Table 15: Specific Housing Unit Details 2005

Туре	Total Finished Square Feet	S	old Price	Tota	le Price / I Finished are Foot
Detached	1,781	\$	182,487	\$	102
Attached	1,323	\$	113,975	\$	86
Mobile Home / w Land	1,174	\$	93,709	\$	80
Condominium	1,302	\$	122,215	\$	94

Note that the average square footage of units in the national average data (Table 14) are higher than the average square footage of unit as presented in Table 15; the difference is explained as the data presented in Table 15 are for "finished" square footages while the national average data includes data for non-finished square footage such as garages, basements, and enclosed porches.

Housing Trends Applied to Build-Out

The stratification of housing units presented in Table 13 and as further described in Appendix A, is applied to the build-out projected housing units. The results of the application are an estimation of not only the *total number* of housing units that may be constructed in a zoning district but also an estimation of the *type* of units that may be constructed. The results are presented in Tables 16 and 17: Classification of Projected Housing Units by Zoning District.

Since the community has expressed a desire for fewer mobile home parks and the Township has indicated that mobile home parks have been legally provided for, the units per structure dispersions for blockgroups that represent zoning districts which typically permit mobile home parks have been modified to project fewer mobile homes than currently exist in those blockgroups. However, since the elimination of single mobile homes as a type of housing unit is exclusionary, there have been allowances for new mobile home construction as single units (not developed as mobile home parks) in the estimations. The allowances are accounted for by maintaining (not modifying) the stratification of blockgroups which represent Zoning Districts where mobile home are dispersed throughout the district and are not concentrated on single large lots (mobile home parks). For instance, in the Conservation (CV) Zoning District, no modification was made to the existing instances of mobile homes; and therefore the build-out projected housing units (1,112 housing units) are classified accordingly, where 1,017 of those units are estimated as being single unit detached structures and 95 are estimated as being mobile homes.

Table 16: Classification of Projected Housing Units by Zoning District – Maximum Build-out

lable 16: Olassilleado		5			9	2		֓֞֝֝֝֝֞֜֝֝֝֝֞֝֞֝	,				,	
Zoning District	CV	А	R-1	ROS	R-2	R-3	R-4	R	^	MX	^	С	Total	
Units From Build-Out Results	1,112	208	1,325	823	382	384	1,531	51	8	•	18	58	5,615	
Units Not Accounted For In Build-Out			178	219		151							548	
Type of Unit (Units In Structure) / Number of Projected Housing Units per Zoning District	1,112	208	1,503	757	382	535	1,531	51	8	,	18	58	6,163	Percent of Total
Housing units: 1; detached units in structure	1,017	185	1,148	578	253	349	571	45	4	,	17	43	4,210	68.3%
Housing units: 1; attached units in structure	•		320	191	69	64	260	2	_	,	-	5	1,182	19.2%
Housing units: 2 units in structure	-	-	-	-	6	116	14	4	-	-	-	8	152	2.5%
Housing units: 3 or 4 units in structure	-	4	98	18	-	9	22	-	0	-	-	7	121	2.0%
Housing units: 5 to 9 units in structure	•	-	-	-	12	-	203	-	2	-	-	-	217	3.5%
Housing units: 10 to 19 units in structure	-	-	-	-	33	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	63	1.0%
Housing units: 20 to 49 units in structure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	%0.0
Housing units: 50 or more units in structure	•		-	-	5	-	-		0		,	,	5	0.1%
Housing units: Mobile home	92	19	-			'	97	'	,	,	2	'	214	3.5%
TOTAL	1,112	208	1,503	757	381	535	1,531	51	œ		18	58	6,163	100.0%
	,		٠		-			١						

Source: Build-Out Projected Units as classified using data from Appendix A.

zoning districts of Dover Borough, while the remaining columns comprise the zoning districts of Dover Township. Note that the percentage of Table 16: Classification of Projected Housing Units by Zoning District - Maximum Build-out illustrates in which zoning districts the projected housing units may be constructed as well as illustrates the type of housing units that may be expected. The yellow highlighted columns represent the two mobile homes that may be expected (3.5%) is far less than the percentage of mobile homes that are already in the Dover Area (15.4%). Data from Table 16 are used in the fiscal impact estimations.

Table 17: Classification of Projected Housing Units by Zoning District – Preferred Build-out

					,		,)						
Zoning District	C	۷	R-1	ROS	R-2	R-3	R-4	R	^	MX	^	C	Total	
Units From Build-Out Results	282	208	1,178	554	1,416	334	249	51	8		4	208	4,947	
Units Not Accounted For In Build-Out			178	219		151							548	
Type of Unit (Units In Structure) / Number of Projected Housing Units per Zoning District	735	208	1,356	773	1,416	485	249	51	8	-	4	208	5,495	Percent of Total
Housing units: 1; detached units in structure	672	185	1,036	591	937	317	93	45	4		4	156	4,039	73.5%
Housing units: 1; attached units in structure	ı	,	288	164	256	58	91	2	1		ı	19	880	16.0%
Housing units: 2 units in structure		•		,	34	105	2	4	1			30	176	3.2%
Housing units: 3 or 4 units in structure	-	4	32	18	-	5	6	-	0		-	4	72	1.3%
Housing units: 5 to 9 units in structure	•	•	•	•	46	-	33	-	2		-	-	80	1.5%
Housing units: 10 to 19 units in structure	-	•	•	'	124	-	5	-	1		-	-	130	2.4%
Housing units: 20 to 49 units in structure	•	•	•	•	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	%0.0
Housing units: 50 or more units in structure	•	•	•	•	17	-	-	-	0		-	-	17	0.3%
Housing units: Mobile home	63	19	•	•	-	-	16		-	-	0	-	66	1.8%
TOTAL	735	208	1,356	773	1,415	485	249	51	8		4	208	5,493	100.0%

units may be constructed as well as illustrates the type of housing units that may be expected. The yellow highlighted columns represent the two zoning districts of Dover Borough, while the remaining columns comprise the zoning districts of Dover Township. Note that the percentage of Table 17: Classification of Projected Housing Units by Zoning District - Preferred Build-out illustrates in which zoning districts the projected housing mobile homes that may be expected (1.8%) is far less than the percentage of mobile homes that are already in the Dover Area (15.4%). Data from Table 17 are also used in the fiscal impact estimations.

NON-RESIDENTIAL CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Similar to the Housing Capacity Analysis, the Non-residential Capacity Analysis evaluates total lands available for non-residential development and the total acreage and square footage of non-residential space permitted to develop on lands available for development using current zoning and development regulations. The analysis can be used as a measure of the current regulation's ability to provide for various types of land use. For example, based on current commercial growth trends is there enough land zoned for commercial (non-residential) development? The results of the non-residential capacity analysis provide valuable information to support land use policy decisions and can also be used to assess fiscal impacts of non-residential development.

Tables 18 and 19: Projected Non-Residential Space expands upon data presented in Tables 7 and 8: Build-Out Results concerning non-residential acreage by estimating the total square footage of non-residential space that could be constructed on lands available for development as permitted under current zoning. The left most columns of Tables 18 and 19 provided current zoning regulations including maximum height and maximum lot coverage; the *Build-Out Condition* columns provide the assumptions made for how lands available for development are to be developed, acreages of developable land by zoning district, and the residential and non-residential splits. The *Build-Out Results* columns provide estimated square footages of non-residential space that may be constructed using permitted criteria from the *Current Conditions* columns; The *Notes* columns describe how the current regulations are applied.

Table 18: Projected Non-Residential Space – Maximum Build-out illustrates that of the 388 acres of lands available for development in zoning districts that permit non-residential development there are 355 acres on which the development may occur. The 355 acres available for non-residential development may yield approximately 15.3 Million square feet of non-residential space. Note that only lands available for development in the Business Professional (BP) Zoning District have a reduction made for new streets, utilities, and ROW easement. These lands available for development zoned BP may require new infrastructure whereas lands available for development in the other Zoning Districts are already serviced by existing streets and utilities.

Table 19: Projected Non-Residential Space – Preferred Build-out illustrates that of the 1,036 acres of lands available for development in zoning districts (current zoning designations and modified designations) that permit non-residential development there are 982 acres on which the development may occur. The 982 acres available for non-residential development may yield approximately 45.9 Million square feet of non-residential space. Note that only lands available for development in the Business Professional (BP) and Industrial (I) Zoning Districts with modified zoning designations have a reduction made for new streets, utilities, and ROW easement. Lands available for development zoned BP and newly created areas zoned I may require new infrastructure whereas lands available for development in the other Zoning Districts are already serviced by existing streets and utilities.

				Table 18: Projected N	Table 18: Projected Non-Residential Space – Maximum Build-out	num Build-ou	+			
		Current Zoning		Build-Out Conditions	nditions		O-plid-O	Build-Out Results		
Zoning Districts	Zone	Minimum Square Footage Needed	Maximum Height	Assumed Type of Build-out	Acres Available for Development	Residential Acres	Non- Residential Acres	PROJECTED UNITS (Based on Maximum Density or	BUILD-OUT Total Square Footage	NOTES
Village (Borough)	>	8,000	35 feet - 2.5 floors	Commercial (50%) Residential (50%)	3.0	1.5	1.5	8	39,275	2 floors
Village District (Township)	>	NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer	35 feet - 2.5 floors	Commercial (40%) Residential (60%)	30.4	18.2	12.2	18	370,925	Assumed 1 acre lots (water is currently available) and 2 floors
Commercial	O	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	40 feet - 3.0 floors	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)	132.9	13.3	119.6	58	7,812,548	2 floors
Industrial	_	20,000 square feet	50 feet - 3.0 floors	Industrial (100%)	66.7	-	66.7		2,177,544	1 floor
Business / Office Park	ВР	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**	50 feet	Commercial (100%)	155.1		155.1		4,864,840	3 floors and 20% reduction from Total Area for roads, utilities, ROW assements, etc.
Dover Borough Sub-total					3.0	1.5	1.5	8.3	39,275	
Dover Lownship Sub-total					385.0	31.5	353.5	76.0	15,225,857	
Total					388.0	33.0	355.0	84.4	15,265,131	
			N N	Note: Vollow highlighted rous reflect the Zoning Districts in Dover Born with that normit non-residential development	na Districts in Dover Borough that porm	loita object and tie	tagardon ob	Ĩ		

Note: Yellow highlighted rows reflect the Zoning Districts in Dover Borough that permit non-residential development.

	Current Zoning	ning		Build-Out (Build-Out Conditions			Build-Out Results	sults	
								PROJECTED UNITS (Based on		
Zoning Districts	Zone Code	Minimum Square Footage Needed	Maximum Height	Assumed Type of Build-out	Acres Available for Development	Residential Acres	Non- Residential Acres	Maximum Density or listed Notes)	NON-RESIDENTIAL BUILD-OUT Total Square Footage	NOTES
	;		35 feet -	Commercial (50%) Residential		į		c	12000	C
Village (Borough)	>	8,000	2.5 floors	(%0¢)	3.0	1.5	1.5	æ	39,275	Z floors
Village District (Township)	>	NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer	35 feet - 2.5 floors	Commercial (40%) Residential (60%)	7.4	4.4	3.0	4	90,439	Assumed 1 acre lots (water is currently available) and 2 floors
Commercial	O	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	40 feet - 3.0 floors	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)	478.5	47.9	430.7	208	28,139,659	2 floors
Industrial	-	20,000 square feet	50 feet - 3.0 floors	Industrial (100%)	392.1	,	392.1		12,808,930	1 floor
Business / Office Park	ВР	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**	50 feet	Commercial (100%)	155.1		155.1		4,864,840	3 floors and 20% reduction from Total Area for roads, utilities, ROW easements, etc.
Dover Borough Sub-total					3.0	1.5	1.5	80	39,275	
Dover Township Sub-total					1,033.1	52.3	980.8	212	45,903,868	
Total					1,036.1	53.8	982.3	221	45,943,143	
		-14	A1-4 Li-L	It to all an account to a time!	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	4	24 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	and and a sole laider about	The state of the s	

Note: Yellow highlighted rows reflect the Zoning Districts in Dover Borough that permit non-residential development.

FISCAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Estimate fiscal impacts were developed for the build-out scenario. The impacts are based on several variables which reflect current conditions. The variables include current tax rates, a calculated square footage for each use, estimate square footage of projected housing units, and current average sale price per square foot values. These variables were applied to generate estimated taxes (income) for each scenario. A brief description of each is provided below.

Tax Assessment Data

Although the last complete Dover Township and Dover Borough tax re-assessment was completed in 2004, the re-assessed values do not apply until tax year 2006 and are therefore not present in current tax assessment data. The previous re-assessment was completed for York County in 1998, with updates made to specific properties that were either sold or which had substantial renovations. If properties were sold, oftentimes a re-assessment was completed and the new assessed value was used.

Milleage Rates

Milleage rates were provided by York County Tax Assessment Office and are current for 2005 tax year. The rates include the Dover Area School District which was 18.77 Mils, and the York County Tax Rate of 4.51 Mils. The taxes are applied to assessed values as follows: for every \$1,000 of assessed value \$18.77 is levied for School Taxes, and \$4.51 is levied for York County Taxes.

Average Assessed Value per Square Foot for Non-residential Properties

The actual square footage of the structures on non-residential properties is not readily available, but the actual improved (building) assessed value for these properties is available. Therefore various assumptions were made to derive an average improved assessed value per square foot of commercial space.

Table 20: Deriving Non-residential Improved Assessed Values illustrates an improved assessed value per square foot of non-residential space calculated using current total acres by land use, current maximum lot coverage allowed by zoning, and the current total improved assessed value of commercial and industrial properties. Converting current acres to square feet multiplied by the maximum allowed lot coverage yields the potential lot coverage in square feet. The current improved assessed value divided by the potential lot coverage yields the improved assessed value per square foot. The resulting value was then used to determine potential fiscal impacts of projected non-residential square footage.

Table 20: Deriving Non-residential Improved Assessed Values

Land Use	Current Acres	Maximum Lot Coverage Allowed by Zoning*	Potential Lot Coverage (square feet)	Current Improved Assessed Value**	Ass Val	oroved sessed ue Per are Foot
Commercial	380.9	30%	4,978,075.6	\$ 48,081,110	\$	9.66
Industrial	195.0	75%	6,369,199.5	\$ 56,360,370	\$	8.85

*Used Village Zoning District maximum lot coverage for commercial maximum lot coverage, as few if any nonresidential properties outside the Village Zoning District utilize the 75% lot coverage allowed by Zoning. **1998 data with modifications for new structures and renovated structures.

Residential Impacts

Tables 21 and 23: Potential Residential Fiscal Impacts (per scenario) provides the estimated fiscal impacts of the projected residential development. Data used for the estimates are based on data presented in Table 14: National Average Square Footage of Units and Table 15: Specific Housing Unit Details 2005. In general, the average total finished square footage values (Table 15) were used to calculate total square footages for 1 unit detached, 1 unit attached, two units in structure (condominiums), and mobile homes; while the national average square footages (Table 14) were used calculate the other types of housing units. One assumption made for residential impact assessment is that since these are to be "new" homes, the estimated sale price of a unit is also its assessed value.

Table 21: Potential Residential Fiscal Impacts – Maximum Build-out illustrates the projected additional 6,163 housing units (build-out 5,615 housing units plus the unaccounted 548 housing units) provide an assumed total assessed value of \$972.8 million, and could generate \$18.3 million in school taxes and \$4.4 million in York County Taxes.

Table 23: Potential Residential Fiscal Impacts – Preferred Build-out illustrates the projected additional 5,493 housing units (build-out 4,947 housing units plus the unaccounted 548 housing units) provide an assumed total assessed value of \$889.6 million, and could generate \$16.7 million in school taxes and \$4.0 million in York County Taxes.

Non-Residential Impacts

Table 22: Potential Non-Residential Fiscal Impacts – Maximum Build-out provides the estimate fiscal impacts of projected non-residential development for improved assessed values only and does not include assessed land values. Table 18 illustrates the 355 acres of non-residential land available for development could provide 15.3 million square feet of non-residential space whose improved assessed value can potentially be assessed at \$145.7 million; yield a potential \$2.7 million in school taxes and an additional \$657,000 in York County taxes.

Non-Residential Impacts

Table 24: Potential Non-Residential Fiscal Impacts – Preferred Build-out provides the estimate fiscal impacts of projected non-residential development for improved assessed values only and does not include assessed land values. Table 24 illustrates the 982 acres of non-residential land available for development could provide 45.9 million square feet of non-residential space whose improved assessed value can potentially be assessed at \$433.4 million; yield a potential \$8.1 million in school taxes and an additional \$1.9 million in York County taxes.

Table 21: Potential Residential Fiscal Impacts – Maximum Build-out

				3	2			500	2000		100 001					
		Type of Unit (U	(Units In Structure)	ure)	New Units	New Units as Percent of Total Units	Estimated Total Square Footage of New Units*	New Unit Square Footage as a Percent of Total Square Footage	Average Sale Price Per Total Finished Square Foot**		Presumed Assessed Value (Estimated Square Footage X Average Sale Price per Square Foot)		Potential School Tax (18.77 Mils)	Potential County Tax (4.51 Mils)	al ax s)	
		Housing units: 1; detached units in structure	detached units		4,210	68.3%	7,495,215	75.6%	↔	102	\$ 764,511,932		\$ 14,349,889	\$ 3,447,949	,949	
		Housing units: 1; attached units in structure	attached units in		1,182	19.2%	1,563,429	15.8%	\$	98	\$ 134,454,876	\$	2,523,718	\$ 606	606,391	
		Housing units: 2 units	units in structure		152	2.5%	198,004	2.0%	\$	94	\$ 18,612,417	17 \$	349,355	\$ 83	83,942	
		Housing units: 3 or 4	or 4 units in structure	cture	121	2.0%	167,957	1.7%	\$	86	\$ 14,444,263	63 \$	271,119	\$ 65	65,144	
		Housing units: 5 to 9	o 9 units in structure	ture	217	3.5%	183,935	1.9%	49	98	\$ 15,818,370	\$ 02	296,911	\$ 71	71,341	
		Housing units: 10 to	to 19 units in structure	ructure	63	1.0%	53,122	0.5%	\$	86	\$ 4,568,489	\$ 68	85,751	\$ 20	20,604	
		Housing units: 20 to 49 units in structure	to 49 units in st	ructure	0	%0.0	33	%0.0	\$	\$ 98	2,855	\$ 25	54	\$	13	
		Housing units: 50 or more units in structure	or more units ir	structure	2	0.1%	4,065	%0:0	8	98	\$ 349,580	\$ 08	6,562	8	1,577	
		Housing units: Mobile	bile home		214	3.5%	250,806	2.5%	s	8	\$ 20,064,505	\$ 20	376,611	36 \$	90,491	
		TOTAL		-	6,163	100.0%	9,916,566	100.0%			\$ 972,827,286		\$ 18,259,968	\$ 4,387,451	,451	
		*For 1 unit detached, 1 unit attached, two units in structure (condominiums), and mobile homes, the average total finished square footage were used to calculate total square footages, while national average square footages were used for structures with 3 to 50+ units per structure. **Estimate prices used for units with 3 - 50+ units in structure (attached values) Table 22: Potential Non-Residential Fiscal Impacts — Maximum Build-out	1 unit attached	, two units in s while na Tabl o	atructure ational a ** Est.	e (condominaverage squamate prices	nits in structure (condominiums), and mobile homes, the average total finished square footage within ational average square footages were used for structures with 3 to 50+ units per structure. ** Estimate prices used for units with 3 - 50+ units in structure (attached values) Table 22: Potential Non-Residential Fiscal Impacts – Maximum Build-out	oile homes, the ere used for st with 3 - 50+ un	average tota ructures with its in structure Impacts –	I finished squ. 3 to 50+ units (attached va	are footage we per structure. Iues)	əre used to	calculate tota	l square fc	ootages,	
	3	Current Zoning				uild-Out Co	Build-Out Condition Assumptions	nptions		Build-Out Results	sults			NON-F	NON-RESIDENTIAL Fiscal	IL Fiscal
a Districts	Zone	Minimum Square Footae Needed	Maximum Height	Maximum Lot Coverage		Assumed Type		Acres Available Development	Residential Acres	Non- Residential Acres	NON- RESIDENTIAL BUILD-OUT Total Square Sotates	N- INTIAL UT Total	Average Assessed Improved Value per Square Foot		Potential Tax Income (Build- out Square footage X Average Assessed Value per Square Foot)	Poten School
			35 feet -			Commercial (50%)										

				000					355 555						
	J	Current Zoning			Build-Out Condition Assumptions	on Assumptions		Build-Out Results	lts		NON-RESIDENTIAL Fiscal Impacts	TIAL	Fiscal Impact	(n	
Zoning Districts	Zone	Minimum Square Footage Needed	Maximum Height	Maximum Lot Goverage	Assumed Type of Build-out	Acres Available for Development	Residential Acres	Non- Residential Acres	NON- RESIDENTIAL BUILD-OUT Total Square Footage	Average Assessed Improved Value per Square Foot	Potential Tax Income (Build- out Square footage X Average Assessed Value per Square Foot)	× i e	Potential School Tax (18.77 Mils)	9 9 9.	Potential County Tax (4.51 Mils)
Village (Borough)	>	8,000	35 feet - 2.5 floors	30%	Commercial (50%) Residential (50%)	3.0	1.5	1.5	39,275	99.6	\$ 379,392	92 \$	7,121	₩	1,711
Village District (Township)	>	NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer	35 feet - 2.5 floors	35% residential or nonresidential	Commercial (40%) Residential (60%)	30.4	18.2	12.2	370,925	9.66	\$ 3,583,140	40	67,256	€	16,160
Commercial	O	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	40 feet - 3.0 floors	75%	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)	132.9	13.3	119.6	7,812,548	9.66	\$ 75,469,210	10 \$	1,416,557	\$	340,366
Industrial	-	20,000 square feet	50 feet - 3.0 floors	75%	Industrial (100%)	66.7	,	66.7	2,177,544	8.85	\$ 19,271,262	62 \$	361,722	8	86,913
Business / Office Park	BP	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**	50 feet	30%	Commercial (100%)	155.1	,	155.1	4,864,840	99.6	\$ 46,994,355	55 \$	882,084	\$	211,945
Dover Borough Sub-total						3.0	1.5	1.5	39,275		\$ 379,392	392 \$	7,121	69	1,711
Dover Township Sub-total						385.0	31.5	353.5	15,225,857		\$ 145,317,966	\$ 996	2,727,618	69	655,384
Total						388.0	33.0	355.0	15,265,131		\$ 145,697,359	\$ 658	2,734,739	s	657,095

Table 23: Potential Residential Fiscal Impacts – Preferred Build-out

Presumed Assessed Potential Square Foots Sq									
New Of Total Loguare Footage of Total Square Foot* Finished Square Foot* Average Sale Price per School Tax Square Foot)			New Units as Percent	Estimated Total Square	New Unit Square Footage as a Percent of	Average Sale Price Per Total	Presumed Assessed Value (Estimated Square Footage X	Potential	
cture 4,039 73.5% 7,190,925 80.0% \$ 102 \$ 733,474,321 \$13,767,313 \$ 5 cture 880 16.0% 1,164,235 12.9% \$ 86 \$ 100,124,172 \$ 1,879,331 \$ 5 re- 176 3.2% 228,863 2.5% \$ 94 \$ 21,513,161 \$ 403,802 \$ 802,851 \$ 403,802 \$	Type of Unit (Units In Structure)	New Units	of Total Units	Footage of New Units	Total Square Footage	Finished Square Foot*	Average Sale Price per Square Foot)	School Tax (18.77 Mils)	Potential County Tax (4.51 Mils)
ture 880 16.0% 1,164,235 12.9% \$ 86 \$ 100,124,172 \$ 1,879,331 \$ 5 1 2 2 8,863 2.5% \$ 8 94 \$ 100,124,172 \$ 1,879,331 \$ 5 1 2 2 8,863 2.5% \$ 8 94 \$ 2,1,513,161 \$ 4,03,802 \$ 8 2 1,513,161 \$ 1,00,700 1.1% \$ 8 86 \$ 5,860,157 \$ 162,551 \$ 1 2 8,9 1 1 2 8 1 1 1 2 8 1 1 1 1 2 8 1 1 1 1 1	Housing units: 1; detached units in structure	4,039	73.5%	7,190,925	80.0%	\$ 102	\$ 733,474,321	\$13,767,313	\$ 3,307,969
176 3.2% 228,863 2.5% \$ 94 \$ 21,513,161 \$ 403,802 \$ 72 1.3% 100,700 1.1% \$ 86 \$ 860,157 \$ 162,551 \$ re 130 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 5,882,879 \$ 110,46 \$ re 1.30 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 9,445,430 \$ 177,291 \$ riure 1. 0.3% 14,693 0.2% \$ 86 \$ 177,291 \$ \$ - 5 riure 1. 0.3% 14,693 0.2% \$ 8 \$ 177,291 \$ \$ - 5 s 9 1.8% 115,896 \$ 0.2% \$ 0.2% \$ 174,033 \$ 23,718 \$ s 5493 100,0% 8,993,317	Housing units: 1; attached units in structure	880	16.0%	1,164,235	12.9%	98 \$		\$ 1,879,331	\$ 451,560
72 1.3% 100,700 1.1% \$ 86 \$ 8,660,157 \$ 162,551 \$ re 130 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 5,862,879 \$ 110,46 \$ re 130 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 9,445,430 \$ 177,291 \$ re - 0.0% \$ 86 \$ 177,291 \$ \$ riure 17 0.3% 14,693 0.2% \$ \$ 12,63,587 \$ 23,718 \$ s 99 1.8% 115,898 1.3% \$ 90 \$ 927,1877 \$ 174,033 \$ 5,493 100,0% 8,993,317 100,0% \$ \$ 888,615,585 \$16,698,085 \$	Housing units: 2 units in structure	176	3.2%	228,863	2.5%	\$ 94		\$ 403,802	\$ 97,024
in structure 80 1.5% 68.173 0.8% \$ 86 \$ 5.862.879 \$ 110,046 \$ 15 in structure 130 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 5.862.879 \$ 177,291 \$ 15 in structure 2.0% 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ 86 \$ 5.862.879 \$ 177,291 \$ 15 in structure 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0%	Housing units: 3 or 4 units in structure	72	1.3%	100,700	1.1%	\$ 86		\$ 162,551	\$ 39,057
ts in structure 130 2.4% 109,831 1.2% \$ \$ \$ 9,445,430 \$ 177,291 \$ ts in structure - 0.0% - 0.0% \$ 86 \$ - \$ - \$ units in structure 17 0.3% 14,693 0.2% \$ 86 \$ 1,263,587 \$ 23,718 \$ e 99 1.8% 115,898 1.3% \$ 9,271,877 \$ 174,033 \$ e 5,443 100,0% 8,993,317 100,0% \$ 899,615,585 \$16,698,085 \$	Housing units: 5 to 9 units in structure	80	1.5%	68,173	0.8%	\$ 86			\$ 26,442
ts in structure - 0.0% - 0.0% \$ 86 \$ \$ - \$. \$. \$. \$ 8	Housing units: 10 to 19 units in structure	130	2.4%	109,831	1.2%	\$ 86			\$ 42,599
units in structure 17 0.3% 14,693 0.2% \$ 86 \$ 1,263,587 \$ 23,718 \$ \$ e	Housing units: 20 to 49 units in structure		%0.0		0.0%		· &	· \$	· &
3 units: Mobile home 99 1.8% 115.898 1.3% \$ 80 \$ 9.271,877 \$ 174,033 \$ \$ 100.0% \$ 889,615,585 \$ 16,698,085 \$	Housing units: 50 or more units in structure	17	0.3%	14,693	0.2%	\$ 86	`	\$ 23,718	\$ 5,699
5.493 100.0% 8.993.317 100.0% \$ 899.615.585 \$16.698.085 \$	Housing units: Mobile home	99	1.8%	115,898	1.3%	\$ 80		\$ 174,033	\$ 41,816
	TOTAL	5,493	100.0%	8,993,317	100.0%		\$ 889,615,585	\$16,698,085	\$ 4,012,166

*For 1 unit detached, 1 unit attached, two units in structure (condominiums), and mobile homes, the average total finished square footage were used to calculate total square footages, while national average square footages were used for structures with 3 to 50+ units per structure.
** Estimate prices used for units with 3 - 50+ units in structure (attached values)

								_	,	—,
	cts	Potential County Tax (4.51 Mils)	\$ 1,711	\$ 3,940	\$ 1,225,949	\$ 511,249	\$ 211,945	\$ 1,711	\$ 1,953,083	\$ 1,954,794
	IAL Fiscal Impa	Potential School Tax (18.77 Mils)	\$ 7,121	\$ 16,398	\$ 5,102,232	\$ 2,127,749	\$ 882,084	\$ 7,121	\$ 8,128,464	\$ 8,135,585
	NON-RESIDENTIAL Fiscal Impacts	Potential Tax Income (Build-out Square footage X Average Assessed Value per Square Foot)	\$ 379,392	\$ 873,643	\$ 271,829,105	\$ 113,359,032	\$ 46,994,355	\$ 379,392	\$ 433,056,136	\$ 433,435,528
		Average Assessed Improved Value per Square Foot	99.6	99:6	9.66	8.85	99:6			
ferred Build-out	ılts	NON- RESIDENTIAL BUILD-OUT Total Square Footage	39,275	90,439	28,139,659	12,808,930	4,864,840	39,275	45,903,868	45,943,143
mpacts – Pre	Build-Out Results	Non- Residential Acres	1.5	3.0	430.7	392.1	155.1	1.5	8.086	982.3
ential Fiscal I		Residential Acres	1.5	4.4	47.9	-		1.5	52.3	53.8
ial Non-Resid	Conditions	Acres Available for Development	3.0	7.4	478.5	392.1	155.1	3.0	1,033.1	1,036.1
Table 24: Potential Non-Residential Fiscal Impacts – Preferred Build-out	Build-Out Co	Assumed Type of Build-out	Commercial (50%) Residential (50%)	Commercial (40%) Residential (60%)	Residential (10%) Commercial (90%)	Industrial (100%)	Commercial (100%)			
		Maximum Lot Coverage	30%	35% residential or nonresidential	75%	75%	30%			
		Maximum Height	35 feet - 2.5 floors	35 feet - 2.5 floors	40 feet - 3.0 floors	50 feet - 3.0 floors	50 feet			
	Current Zoning	Minimum Square Footage Needed	8,000	NONRESIDENTIAL 32,670 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer	10,000 with water AND sewer 43,560 with water OR sewer 65,240 with neither	20,000 square feet	5 acres (217,800 square feet)**			
		Zone Code	>	>	O	-	ВР			
		Zoning Districts	Village (Borough)	Village District (Township)	Commercial	Industrial	Business / Office Park	Dover Borough Sub-total	Dover Township Sub-total	Total

*Minimum 30 acre parcel needed to be considered

School District Impacts

Potential fiscal impacts to the Dover Area School District were calculated using variables from the results of the Build-out Analysis as well as several sources including the Pennsylvania Department of Education and the Penn State Cooperative Extension's Costs and Revenues of Residential Development: A Workbook for Local Officials and Citizens. Tables 25 and 27: Impact on School District (per scenario), provides an indication of the impacts of build-outs under current zoning regulations to the Dover Area School District.

Table 25: Impact on School District - Maximum Build-out

Table 23. Impact on General	Diotii	ot maxiiia	5	and Out	
Variables	Ta	ential School ax Collected 18.77 Mils)	С	Potential ounty Tax lected (4.51 Mils)	 etential Total
A. Potential Residential Development	\$	18,259,968	\$	4,387,451	\$ 22,647,419
B. Potential Non-residential Development C. Potential Taxes Collected	\$	2,734,739	\$	657,095	\$ 3,391,835
(Residential & Non-residential)	\$	20,994,708	\$	5,044,546	\$ 26,039,254
D. Potential New Residential Units*		6,163			
E. Average Students per Residential Unit**F. Potential New Students (D*E)		0.5546 3,418			
G. Average School District Cost per Student***	\$	8,509			
H. Potential Cost for New Students (F*G)	\$	29,081,958			
I. Difference Between School District Income & Cost	¢	(9.097.251)			

^{*}From Build-out Analysis – Table 10.

Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Table 25 indicates that the projected 6,163 additional homes may introduce approximately 3,400 new students into the Dover Area School District and the 2006 cost to educate one student for one year (\$8,509 per student) could potentially result in a cost to the school district of approximately twenty-nine million dollars (\$29,081,958). The table also indicates that the approximately twenty-one million dollars (\$20,994,708) collected in potential school taxes would result in a deficit of approximately eight-million dollars (\$8,087,251) when comparing cost versus revenues. This projection of fiscal impact uses the state average of 0.5546 students per residential unit.

Table 26: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions – Maximum Build-out, was developed in response to the relatively low average students per residential unit rate used in Table 25 (Variable E in Table 25). It was the consensus of the Joint Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee (JCPAC) that the statewide average of 0.5546 students per residential unit was not reflective of the recent household trends in Dover Township; therefore, a localized value was developed and is provided in Table 26: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions – Maximum Build-out.

^{**}Average Students Per Residential Unit; Costs & Revenues of Residential Development - Penn State Cooperative Extension

^{***} Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2006

Table 26: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions – Maximum Build-out

rabio zer impaet en centeer bietriet ce						
Variables	T	tential School ax Collected (18.77 Mils)	Та	ential County x Collected 4.51 Mils)	_	tential Total tes Collected
A. Potential Residential Development	\$	18,259,968	\$	4,387,451	\$	22,647,419
B. Potential Non-residential Development	\$	2,734,739	\$	657,095	\$	3,391,835
C. Potential Taxes Collected (Residential & Non-residential)	\$	20,994,708	\$	5,044,546	\$	26,039,254
D. Potential New Residential Units*		6,163				
E. Average Students per Residential Unit**		0.7217				
F. Potential New Students (D*E)		4,448				
G. Average School District Cost per Student***	\$	8,509				
H. Potential Cost for New Students (F*G)	\$	37,846,453				
I. Difference Between School District Income & Cost (C - H)	\$	(16,851,746)				

^{*}From Build-out Analysis – Table 10.

Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Local Conditions Worksheet: Calculating Average Students Per Residential Unit

Calculating Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units (Local Conditions)	Variable
A = Potential New Residential Units (From Build-out Analysis under Current Zoning)	6,163
B = Percentage of Households that are Family Households (2000, SF1 Table DP1)	75.1%
C = Average Family size (2000, SF1 Table DP1)	2.93
D = Percent of Total family population that are children (2000, SF3 Table P9)	32.8%
E = Projected Family Households generated from Potential New Units (A*B)	4,628
F = Projected Family Population generated from Potential New Units (C*E)	13,560
G = Potential Number of new children (percentage of F that are children or F*D)	4,448
Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units (G/A)	0.7217

Variables used in the Local Conditions Worksheet of Table 26: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions- Maximum Build-out, are based on the potential new residential units as projected from the Build-out Analysis using current Zoning, and Census 2000 data for Dover Township. Within the Local Conditions Worksheet variable A is from the Build-out Analysis, variables B through D are from the 2000 Census for Dover Township, variables E through G are derivatives of variables A through D as indicated for each row. The resulting value of the Local Conditions Worksheet (Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units = 0.7217) was used in Table 26.

As Table 26 indicates that the projected 6,163 additional homes may introduce approximately 4,500 new students into the Dover Area School District and the 2006 cost to educate one student for one year (\$8,509 per student) could potentially result in a cost to the school district of approximately thirty-eight million dollars (\$37,846,453). The table also indicates that the approximately twenty-one million dollars (\$20,994,708) collected in potential school taxes would

^{**}Refer to Local Conditions Worksheet below

^{***} Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2006

result in a deficit of approximately seventeen-million dollars (\$16,851,746) when comparing cost versus revenues.

Since the current selling-price of new homes was a factor in determining the potential school taxes collected in the Dover Area School District (new homes are presumed to be assessed at the sale price). These figures are based on 2005 and 2006 dollars where the average sale price of a new home is \$163,780. The calculated average sales price of homes needed to meet the projected eight-million dollar deficit would be \$227,000 per home (refer to Table 25). The calculated sales price of homes needed to meet the projected seventeen-million dollar deficit would be \$303,525 per home (refer to Table 26).

A similar application of school district cost was conducted using the Preferred Future scenario and the following are the results of the application

Table 27: Impact on School District – Preferred Build-out

Variables	T	tential School ax Collected (18.77 Mils)	(Potential County Tax Ilected (4.51 Mils)	 otential Total xes Collected
A. Potential Residential Development	\$	16,698,085	\$	4,012,166	\$ 20,710,251
B. Potential Non-residential Development	\$	8,135,585	\$	1,954,794	\$ 10,090,379
C. Potential Taxes Collected (Residential & Non-residential)	\$	24,833,669	\$	5,966,961	\$ 30,800,630
•	\$	-,,	\$, , -	\$,

H. Potential Cost for New Students (F*G)	\$ 25,923,175	
G. Average School District Cost per Student***	\$ 8,509	
F. Potential New Students (D*E)	3,047	
E. Average Students per Residential Unit**	0.5546	
D. Potential New Residential Units*	5,493	

(1.089.506)

I. Difference Between School District Income & Cost (C - H)

Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Table 27 indicates that the projected 5,493 additional homes may introduce approximately 3,000 new students into the Dover Area School District and the 2006 cost to educate one student for one year (\$8,509 per student) could potentially result in a cost to the school district of approximately twenty-six million dollars (\$25,923,175). The table also indicates that the approximately twenty-five million dollars (\$24,833,669) collected in potential school taxes would result in a deficit of approximately one-million dollars (\$1,089,506) when comparing cost versus revenues. This projection of fiscal impact uses the state average of 0.5546 students per residential unit.

Table 28: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions – Preferred Build-out, was developed in response to the relatively low average students per residential unit rate used in Table 27 (Variable E in Table 27). It was the consensus of the Joint Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee (JCPAC) that the statewide average of 0.5546 students per residential unit was not reflective of the recent household trends in Dover Township; therefore, a localized value was developed and the results are provided in Table 28: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions – Preferred Build-out.

^{*}From Build-out Analysis – Table 11.

^{**}Average Students Per Residential Unit; Costs & Revenues of Residential Development - Penn State Cooperative Extension

^{***} Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2006

Table 28: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions - Preferred Build-out

Variables	T	tential School ax Collected (18.77 Mils)	C	Potential County Tax Ilected (4.51 Mils)	otential Total kes Collected
A. Potential Residential Development	\$	16,698,138	\$	4,012,179	\$ 20,710,317
B. Potential Non-residential Development	\$	8,135,585	\$	1,954,794	\$ 10,090,379
C. Potential Taxes Collected (Residential & Non-residential)	\$	24,833,723	\$	5,966,973	\$ 30,800,696
D. Potential New Residential Units* E. Average Students per Residential Unit**		5,493 0.7217			
F. Potential New Students (D*E)		3,965			
G. Average School District Cost per Student***	\$	8,509			
H. Potential Cost for New Students (F*G)	\$	33,735,700			
I. Difference Between School District Income & Cost (C - H)	\$	(8,901,977)			

^{*}From Build-out Analysis - Table 11.

Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Local Conditions Worksheet: Calculating Average Students Per Residential Unit

Calculating Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units (Local Conditions)	Variable
A = Potential New Residential Units (From Build-out Analysis under Current Zoning)	5,493
B = Percentage of Households that are Family Households (2000, SF1 Table DP1)	75.1%
C = Average Family size (2000, SF1 Table DP1)	2.93
D = Percent of Total family population that are children (2000, SF3 Table P9)	32.8%
E = Projected Family Households generated from Potential New Units (A*B)	4,125
F = Projected Family Population generated from Potential New Units (C*E)	12,088
G = Potential Number of new children (percentage of F that are children or F*D)	3,965
Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units (G/A)	0.7217

Variables used in the Local Conditions Worksheet of Table 28: Impact on School District Using Local Conditions- Preferred Build-out, are based on the potential new residential units as projected from the Build-out Analysis using current Zoning, and Census 2000 data for Dover Township. Within the Local Conditions Worksheet variable A is from the Build-out Analysis, variables B through D are from the 2000 Census for Dover Township, variables E through G are derivatives of variables A through D as indicated for each row. The resulting value of the Local Conditions Worksheet (Average Students Per Residential Unit for Potential New Units = 0.7217) was used in Table 28.

As Table 28 indicates that the projected 5,493 additional homes may introduce approximately 4,000 new students into the Dover Area School District and the 2006 cost to educate one student for one year (\$8,509 per student) could potentially result in a cost to the school district of approximately thirty-four million dollars (\$33,735,700). The table also indicates that the approximately twenty-five million dollars (\$24,833,723) collected in potential school taxes would result in a deficit of approximately nine-million dollars (\$8,901,977) when comparing cost versus revenues.

^{**}Refer to Local Conditions Worksheet below

^{***} Pennsylvania Department of Education, 2006

Since the current selling-price of new homes was a factor in determining the potential school taxes collected in the Dover Area School District (new homes are presumed to be assessed at the sale price). These figures are based on 2005 and 2006 dollars where the average sale price of a new home is \$163,780. The calculated average sales price of homes needed to meet the projected one-million dollar deficit would be \$172,514 per home (refer to Table 27). The calculated sales price of homes needed to meet the projected nine-million dollar deficit would be \$248,283 per home (refer to Table 28).

Municipal Impacts

Potential municipal impacts of a maximum build-out are numerous. The following road, water, sewer, and police and fire costs are provided for example purposes only, as several assumptions are made when providing impact estimates.

Table 29: New Road Construction Costs, and Table 30: Existing Road Rehabilitation Costs are based on data provided by Dover Borough, Dover Township and JMT estimates for the construction of a new two-lane one-mile road with water and sewer service. According to the estimates, a new two-lane one-mile road with water and sewer service would cost, at a minimum, approximately \$2.3 million per mile (\$2,252,175). The minimum estimated costs for the rehabilitation of an existing road (Table 30), is approximately \$1.4 million per mile (\$1,425,222). With a projected additional 6,100 housing units, the rehabilitation or construction of new roads is eminent, in addition to roads provided as part of the development.

Table 29: New Road Construction Costs

New Road Feature	Linear Distance (feet)	Width (feet)	Number Per Mile	Cost*	Approximate Total Cost per Mile
New Road Construction*	5,280	32	NA	\$5.12	\$865,075
New Structure (Bridge) Construction*	20	32	4	\$245	\$627,200
Water Service (Main Lines)	5,280	NA	NA	\$50	\$264,000
Sewer Service (Main Lines)***	5,280	NA	NA	\$80	\$422,400
Sewer Service (Man-holes)**	5,280	NA	21	\$3,500	\$73,500
		Two -			
Total	1 Mile	lanes			\$2,252,175

^{*}Approximate Cost per Square Foot, includes materials plus overhead and labor cost estimates.

Source: Dover Borough, Dover Township, JMT.

Table 30: Existing Road Rehabilitation Costs

Existing Road Feature	Linear Distance (feet)	Width (feet)	Number Per Mile	Cost	Approximate Total Cost per Mile
Resurface Existing Road*	5,280	32	NA	\$1.44	\$243,302
Existing Structure (Bridge) Rehabilitation*	20	32	4	\$100	\$256,000
Water Service (Main Lines Update)	5,280	NA	NA	\$74	\$390,720
Sewer Service (Main Lines Update)	5,280	NA	NA	\$95	\$501,600
Sewer Service (Man-holes) Repair**	5,280	NA	21	\$1,600	\$33,600
Total	1 Mile	Two – lanes			\$1,425,222

^{*}Approximate Cost per Square Foot, includes materials plus overhead and labor cost estimates.

Source: Dover Borough, Dover Township, JMT.

^{**}One every 250 feet, which is the average distance needed for line inspection, maintenance, and repair. Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

^{**}One every 250 feet, which is the average distance needed for line inspection, maintenance, and repair. Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Several other costs not included in these estimates which increase overall costs of construction include design costs, roadway drainage costs, property acquisition costs, environmental mitigation costs and other unknown factors. Design costs range from ten to twenty percent of total costs, drainage costs vary depending on topography and design; and property acquisition costs depend on several factors including current land use, future land use and location. Environmental mitigation costs may include wetlands creation, monitoring wells, and impact assessments or statements. Unknown factors are those factors not anticipated that are discovered during construction or rehabilitation and can include archeological discovery, karsts or limestone geology, structures being in poorer condition than anticipated, and material availability and cost fluctuations.

Table 31: Cost of Police & Fire Services for Dover Township and Table 32: Cost of Police & Fire Services for Dover Borough illustrates estimates to provide services to citizens of the Township and the Borough. The tables estimate, for 2005 the per capita expenditure to provide police and fire services based on the budgeted amount of municipal funding to provide these services divided by the total population of the Township or the Borough. Future expenditure for services is calculated by multiplying the calculated 2005 per capita expenditure by the total projected population.

In Dover Township, based on 2005 per capita expenditure, the estimated expenditure to provide police and fire services in 2020 is approximately \$2.2 million, 2030 is approximately \$2.4 million, under a maximum build-out approximately \$3.2 million, and under a preferred build-out approximately \$3.0 million. The estimates are based on 2005 -2006 dollars and assume no change in current police and fire services, or costs to provide police and fire services, or change in the Township's current commitment to receive these services.

In Dover Borough, based on 2005 per capita expenditure, the estimated expenditure to provide police and fire services in 2020 is approximately \$188,000, 2030 is approximately \$202,000, and under either a maximum build-out or preferred build-out approximately \$180,000. The estimates are based on 2005 -2006 dollars and assume no change in current police and fire services, or costs to provide police and fire services, or change in the Township's current commitment to receive these services.

Table 31: Cost of Police & Fire Services for Dover Township

	2005	2005	Estimated Expenditure to Provide Services for			
Service	Budgeted Amount	Calculated Per Capita Expenditure to Provide Service	County Projected Population of 2020	County Projected Population of 2030	Maximum Build-out Population	Preferred Build-out Population
Police	\$1,422,520	\$75	\$1,876,015	\$2,083,250	\$2,728,189	\$2,602,464
Fire	\$237,085	\$13	\$312,667	\$347,206	\$454,695	\$433,741
Total	\$1,659,605	\$88	\$2,188,682	\$2,430,455	\$3,182,883	\$3,036,205
Population*	18,918	NA	24,949	27,705	36,282	34,610

*US Census 2003 estimate

Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

Table 32: Cost of Police & Fire Services for Dover Borough

	2005	2005	Estimated Expenditure to Provide Services for			
Service	Budgeted Amount	Calculated Per Capita Expenditure to Provide Service	County Projected Population of 2020	County Projected Population of 2030	Maximum Build-out Population	Preferred Build-out Population
Police	\$155,000	\$81	\$176,773	\$189,399	\$169,326	\$169,245
Fire	\$10,000	\$5	\$11,405	\$12,219	\$10,924	\$10,919
Total	\$165,000	\$86	\$188,178	\$201,619	\$180,251	\$180,164
Population*	1,915	NA	2,184	2,340	2,092	2,091

*US Census 2003 estimate Note: estimates are in 2005-2006 dollars.

APPENDIX A:		

APPENDIX 4: **BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS** March 2006 Revised April 2007